

United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/144,782 09/01/98 **ABBAS** P/61149.USP/

WM01/0523

KIRSCHSTEIN OTTINGER ISRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER 489 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10017

EXAMINER TON.D ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2661 DATE MAILED:

05/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

• Control		Secretal Secretary	
Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)		
	09/144782	Ab	bas
	Examiner		Group Art Unit
	DANIS	Tan	266/
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	orrespondence address—
Period for Reply	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFf from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	reply within the statutory minimu	ım of thirty (30) the mailing dat	days will be considered timely.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1/92		
☐ This action is FINAL.			•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce	ot for formal matters: nrose	cution as to	the marite is aloned in
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		rue mento lo ciosed III
Disposition of Claims	•		
Claim(s)		ie/ara r	conding in the conlination
Of the above claim(s)		is/are t	withdrawa from consideration
☐ Claim(s)			·
✓ Claim(s) / - 2 2			•
□ Claim(s)		is/aro e	bjected.
□ Claim(s)			·
		are sur require	
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawl	ng Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved	i.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to Ali Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl	f the priority documents hav	re been	•
☐ received in this national stage application from the In	ternational Bureau (PCT Ru	le 1 7.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)	·		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s) □ Inte	erview Summ	nary, PTO-413

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

*U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No.

☐ Other

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 09/144,782

Art Unit: 2661

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

3. Claims 2, 5-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 2, "the same form" has no antecedent basis. The same is true with the terms "the error indication information" recited in claim 5; and "the same remote" recited in claim 17.

Claims 6-10 are rejected since they depend from claim 5.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 2661

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Norman (6,011,802).

Norman discloses a method and system for conversion and transmission of communication signals network comprising the steps of transmitting to a node of the network a form of data signal from outside the network, converting the signal into a virtually concatenated information structure and transporting the signal through the network in the virtually concatenated information structure wherein conversion of the signal comprises processing a path overhead to the signal wherein the integrity of the path overhead information is maintained (see details of figure 4 and abstract).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2661

Claims 4-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman.

Norman discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the data signal being vc-4 or vc-3 and overhead being bytes H4, J1 and B3 in a communications network. However, vc-4 or vc-3, H4, J1 and B3 are well-known in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use the vc-4 or vc-3, H4, J1 and B3 in the communications network of Norman for the purpose of speeding up the system's operation.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Engberson et al. (6,058,119) is cited to show a SDN/SONET interface which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dang Ton at telephone number (703) 305-4739.

DT/ayc

May 8, 2001

DANG TON PRIMARY EXAMINER